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Document objectives

- To comprehensively review published evidence on patient values and preferences for the management of cardiac tachyarrhythmias
- To provide up-to-date consensus recommendations for use in clinical practice
Content of the document

- Patients’ experiences of living with various cardiac tachyarrhythmias and their treatment preferences
- Covers atrial fibrillation, supraventricular arrhythmias and ventricular arrhythmias
- Identifies gaps in knowledge to help inform clinical practice and future research
- Key discussion points for patient consultations
- Provides links to arrhythmia-specific resources
Introduction

Cardiac tachyarrhythmias:

- are recurrent or chronic and in some cases life-threatening
- often highly symptomatic
- the psychological impact of the disease can be significant
- Patients’ beliefs and knowledge influence health behaviours
- Important to acknowledge and understand the impact of cardiac tachyarrhythmias on the patient
Structure of this presentation

- Atrial Fibrillation
- Supraventricular Arrhythmias
- Ventricular Arrhythmias
- Areas for future research
- Consensus statements

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Patients’ experiences of living with AF

- Generally lower health-related quality of life (QoL) compared to healthy controls
- 33-50% of symptomatic patients with AF suffer from psychological distress
- At core of patient-centred care is an understanding of how AF affects EACH patient
- Difficulties and delays in AF diagnosis
- Patient stories in the document illustrate their experiences
Patient’s understanding of AF and preferences for treatment

- Little evidence on rate- or rhythm-control management
- Evidence on patient preferences for treatment focuses on antithrombotic therapy/oral anticoagulation (OAC)
- Generally poor patient awareness of AF and increased stroke risk associated with AF
- Shared decision-making is the ‘patient-focussed’ approach but may not be appropriate and/or desired by all patients
- Patients prefer education about AF and its treatment to be provided by physicians and/or healthcare professionals and for this to repeated over time

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Patient perspective on the management of AF in 5 European countries: EUPS-AF

- 1500 AF patients
- Mean (SD) age: 70.1(12.5) years; 49.8% male
- Average 6 prescription medications regularly
- Factors affecting patient satisfaction were lack of:
  - engagement in medication reviews
  - education
  - involvement in decision-making
  - self-management
- Education about AF management should include family and carers

AF patients’ attitudes towards stroke prevention and bleeding risk

- Patients perceive moderate-major stroke as equivalent/worse than death\(^1\)
- Stroke prevention is most important to AF patients\(^1\)
- 12% were medication adverse even if oral anticoagulation was 100% effective against stroke\(^1\)
- Patients required a 15% risk reduction in stroke risk to motivate them to initiate antithrombotic therapy\(^1\)
- Patients willing to endure 4 major bleeds to prevent 1 stroke\(^1\)

\(^1\)LaHaye S et al. *Thromb Haemost* 2014; 111:465-73
### Patient decision aid for AF

Example of a patient decision based on stroke risk with and without anticoagulation


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No treatment: CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score 2</th>
<th>Anticoagulant: CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image" alt="No treatment grid" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Anticoagulant grid" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **If 1000 people with AF and a CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score of 2**
  - **take NO anticoagulant, over 1 year on average:**
    - 975 people will **NOT** have an AF-related stroke (green faces)
    - 25 people will **WILL** have an AF-related stroke (red faces)

- **If 1000 people with AF and a CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc score of 2**
  - **take an anticoagulant, over 1 year on average:**
    - 975 people will **NOT** have an AF-related stroke (green faces), but would not have done so anyway
    - 17 people **WILL BE SAVED** from having an AF-related stroke (yellow faces)
    - 8 people **WILL still** have an AF-related stroke (red faces)

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Nurse-led integrated care improves AF management

712 AF out-patients randomised to:
- **Usual care** - regular outpatient visits with cardiologist
- **Nurse-led care** - 30 min nurse visits, guideline-based, software assisted, supported by cardiologist
- Greater adherence to clinical guidelines with nurse-led care
- Nurse-led care superior to usual care for composite endpoint of cardiovascular death and cardiovascular hospitalisation

Hendriks JML et al. *Eur Heart J* 2012: 33: 2692-2699
Key topics for initial discussions with AF patients

- Basic anatomy/physiology of AF
- Explanation of possible symptoms; emphasise that asymptomatic AF is common
- Factors increasing risk of AF development; focus on factors related to patient
- Trajectory of AF – what can the patient expect?
- Discuss consequences of AF
- Discuss treatment options (including OAC)
- Treatment education (pharmacological, non-pharmacological, lifestyle)
- Agree an action plan and follow-up care (who and when)
Critical elements of patient-healthcare professional discussions regarding OAC

- Explain link between AF and stroke and why OAC is usually recommended lifelong
- Patient’s individual risk of stroke by CHA$_2$DS$_2$-VASc
- OAC treatment options
- Patient’s risk of bleeding on OAC and risk/benefit profile
- Drug-specific education
- Emphasise importance of medication adherence
- Bleeding side effects and how to manage these
- In patients taking VKA, importance of anticoagulation control (TTR≥70%)

OAC, oral anticoagulation; TTR, time in therapeutic range; VKA, vitamin K antagonist
Important questions for AF patients to ask their doctor/healthcare professional

- What are the risks from having AF?
- What can be done to decrease the risks associated with AF?
- Is there anything I can do to reduce these risks or decrease amount of AF I have?
- Will the medication I need to take for AF be affected by other medication(s)?
- How often will I need blood tests to check how fast my blood is clotting (INR)? Where do I go for these blood tests?
- Will food or alcohol affect my AF or AF medication?
- Who should I call if I feel more unwell than usual?
- If my current treatment plan doesn’t work, what other treatment options might I have?
- What type of exercise can I do?
- How and where can I find out further information?
- Are there any Patient Support Groups?
Links to useful patient advocacy groups and organisations, professional societies and patient discussion forums*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient advocacy groups and foundations</th>
<th>Patient advocacy groups and foundations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation Association International: <a href="http://www.afa-international.org">www.afa-international.org</a></td>
<td>Atrial Fibrillation Association International: <a href="http://www.afa-international.org">www.afa-international.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrhythmia Alliance International: <a href="http://www.aa-international.org">www.aa-international.org</a></td>
<td>Arrhythmia Alliance International: <a href="http://www.aa-international.org">www.aa-international.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These are not exhaustive lists*
EHRA AF Patient Website
https://www.afibmatters.org
Supraventricular arrhythmias

- Paucity of research characterising symptoms of patients with supraventricular arrhythmias
- Limited data on patients’ experiences and impact of QoL
- Obtaining a correct diagnosis and accessing invasive treatment can be difficult, particularly for women
- Patient knowledge of disease, its’ trajectory, patient management strategies, & treatment options is poor

1Wood K et al. Heart Lung 2010;39:12-20
Key discussion points for patients with supraventricular arrhythmia

- What causes the arrhythmia
- Natural trajectory of the arrhythmia
- Common symptoms and strategies for managing episodes
- Smart phone technology to monitor and capture arrhythmia
- Explanation and demonstration of Valsalva manoeuvres
- Detailed explanation of treatment options
- Psychosocial aspects of living with SVT
- Action plan and follow-up care
- How to know if treatment is not working
Patient’s values and preferences for acute and chronic treatment

Lifestyle modifications
- Negative effect of SVT limiting everyday activities & resultant effect on QoL\(^1\)
- Profound psychological effect particularly patients with careers as athletes or high-risk occupations/professions\(^2\)

Anti-arrhythmic drugs
- Impact of AAD drugs on QoL unclear
- Limited data suggests dissatisfaction with AAD\(^3\); may impact adherence

---

\(^1\)Wood K et al. Am J Cardiol 1997;79:145-149
\(^3\)Edvardsson N et al. Patient 2010;3:33-43
Patient’s values and preferences for catheter ablation

- Removal/reduction of symptoms significantly improving quality of life (QoL)\(^1\)
- >95% success rate and <2% complication rate\(^2\)
- QoL in all domains significantly improved by removal or reduction in AF symptoms\(^3,4\)
- Compared to AAD, catheter ablation associated with greater improvement in QoL and symptomatic relief\(^3\)

\(^1\)Blomstrom-Lundqvist C et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;42:1493-1531  
\(^2\)Sohinki & Obel. *Ochsner J* 2014;14:586-595  
\(^3\)Wood K et al. *Heart Lung* 2010;39:12-20  
\(^4\)Walfriddson U et al. *Heart Lung* 2011;40:405-411
Patients’ experiences of living with Wolff-Parkinson-White and treatment preferences

- No studies on patient experiences exclusively in WPW
- Lack of knowledge and understanding
- Need for patient education is more pertinent due to risk of sudden cardiac death
- Catheter ablation significantly increases QoL and decreases symptoms\(^1-3\)
- More information needed for asymptomatic WPW patients regarding invasive procedures

\(^1\)Blomstrom-Lundqvist C et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;42:1493-1531
\(^2\)Wood K et al. *Heart Lung* 2010;39:12-20
\(^3\)Spector P et al. *Am J Cardiol* 2009;104:671-677

www.escardio.org/EHRA
# Patient information and forums for WPW patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient information</th>
<th>Patient experiences and forums</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.aa-international.org">www.aa-international.org</a></td>
<td><a href="https://healthunlocked.com/heartrhythmcharity">https://healthunlocked.com/heartrhythmcharity</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.patient.co.uk/health/wolff-parkinson-white-syndrome-leaflet">www.patient.co.uk/health/wolff-parkinson-white-syndrome-leaflet</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.patient.co.uk/forums/discuss/browse/wolff-parkinson-white-syndrome-2461">http://www.patient.co.uk/forums/discuss/browse/wolff-parkinson-white-syndrome-2461</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Ventricular arrhythmias: Patient experiences

- Covers a wide spectrum of cardiac rhythm disturbances
- Single PVCs occur in ~80% of apparently healthy adults undergoing 24-48 hour ambulatory monitoring\(^1\)
- Patients may not be aware of differences between benign and life-threatening ventricular arrhythmias
- Symptoms can be frequent and disabling; often causing significant anxiety
- Patient experiences illustrated by patient stories\(^2\)
- More tailored education needed on ventricular arrhythmias, treatment options and prognosis

\(^1\)Glasser SP et al. *Chest* 1979;75:565-568
\(^2\)Lane DA et al. *Europace* 2015;17:1747-1769
Key topics for discussion with patients with ventricular arrhythmias*

- What is VT and how common is it?
- What are the symptoms of VT?
- What are the complications of VT?
- How is VT diagnosed?
- What are the risk factors for VT?
- What are the treatment options?
- What are the risks of VT if left untreated?
- Should patients with VT limit their physical activity?
- Psychosocial aspects of living with VT
- What should patients do in an emergency situation?

*Modified from www.cardiosmart.org/Heart-Conditions/Ventricular-Tachycardia/Questions-to-Ask-Your-Doctor
Inherited arrhythmias: Psychological response and quality of life

- Profound impact on patients
- **Adolescents:** lifestyle restrictions lead to feeling of ‘not being normal’ compared to peers
- **Adults:** experience loss of independence associated with work and driving restrictions
- Life-threatening arrhythmias associated with high levels of anxiety and fear
- Clearer information about purpose of genetic testing and cardiac symptoms
- Clear communication of arrhythmia-specific risk stratification to moderate psychological response
Key topics to discuss with a patient with an inherited arrhythmia

- Clear explanation of diagnosis
- Provide list of drugs to be avoided
- Risk-benefit (e.g., with ICDs)
- Genotype-phenotype relationship
- Degree of work-up for risk assessment
- Familial screening
- Restrictions to daily activities, occupation, and social life
- Patient education about treatment options

ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Patients’ understanding and experiences with ICDs for ventricular arrhythmias

- Differences in patients values and preferences for ICD implantation for **primary** and **secondary** prevention
- Patients’ age and comorbidities will modulate treatment expectations of both patient and physician
- Patient need to be made aware of device deactivation; this should begin at implantation*
- Discuss end-of-life decisions at diagnosis and revisit when substantial changes in health occur*

*See Table 10 in Lane DA et al. Europace 2015;17:1747-1769
Key topics to cover when discussing ICD implantation as a treatment option

- Why has my doctor asked me to consider an ICD?
- Does an ICD require surgery?
- Can the ICD be turned off or taken out?
- How will having an ICD affect my life?
- Is it OK to have sex when you have an ICD?
- How does it feel to get a shock?
- Will an ICD make me feel better?
- Will I live longer with an ICD?
- Would I survive a dangerous heart rhythm without an ICD?
- Can the ICD for ‘something bad’ to me? (inappropriate shocks, device infection, lead fracture)
Psychological response to ICDs

Significant amount of research regarding psychological response to ICDs

- ICD implantation increases confidence in recipient
- Up to 25% report psychological distress following implantation\(^1\)
- Lack of patient understanding/ knowledge of underlying medical condition and ICD’s function/purpose
- Appropriate patient education and good doctor-patient communication is essential
- Patient expectations of the device need to be addressed prior to implantation and misconceptions corrected

\(^1\)Magyar-Russell G et al. J Psychosom Res 2011;71:223-231
Psychological response to ICD shocks and strategies to manage distress

- Most evidence relates to single shocks which can lead to psychological distress and poorer QoL in some patients\(^1\)
- Multiple shocks or electrical storms can lead to PTSD and desire for ICD explant\(^2\)
- Limited evidence of impact of electrical storm on patient well-being
- Phantom shocks experienced by 5-25% patients\(^3,4\)

1 Pedersen SS et al. *PACE* 2010;33:1430-1436
2 Hamner M et al. Psychosomatics 1999;40:82-85
4 Kraaier K et al. *Neth Heart J* 2013;21:191-195
### Strategies to manage psychological distress related to ICDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step 1</th>
<th>Step 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1) Establish a trusting relationship with patient (and partner)</td>
<td>Make a shock plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Listen to patients and their stories</td>
<td>Psychological education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Explore</td>
<td>Support groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Patients’ beliefs about their distress</td>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Patients’ resources</td>
<td>▪ Referral to mental health services for:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▪ Patients’ needs and preferences for further referral and intervention</td>
<td>- CBT/mindfulness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- pharmacotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Provide reassurance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) Provide information</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(6) Together with the patient (shared decision-making) form an action plan</td>
<td>(choosing from Step 2 or patient suggestions)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Links to useful patient resources and patient organisations*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient organizations</th>
<th>Useful resources for patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Arrhythmia Alliance International:</strong></td>
<td><strong>American Heart Association Resources and FAQs:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.aa-international.org">www.aa-international.org</a></td>
<td><a href="http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Conditions/Arrhythmia_UCM_002013_SubHomePage.jsp">www.heart.org/HEARTORG/Conditions/Arrhythmia_UCM_002013_SubHomePage.jsp</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Mended Hearts:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cardiosmart:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.stin.nl">http://www.stin.nl</a> [Dutch]</td>
<td><strong>Heart Rhythm Society:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="http://www.hjerteforeningen.dk/">www.hjerteforeningen.dk/</a> [Danish]</td>
<td><a href="http://www.hrsonline.org">www.hrsonline.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sudden Death Cardiac Arrest Association:</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patient.co.uk:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><a href="https://patientdecisionaid.org">https://patientdecisionaid.org</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These are not exhaustive lists
Strategies to improve education for patients with cardiac tachyarrhythmias

- Greater public awareness of cardiac arrhythmias and the potential consequences
- Elicitation and discussion of patient preferences for treatment
- Improved patient support and education and availability of educational materials; involvement of family members
- Tailoring patient education to the individual
- Greater understanding among the medical profession of patients’ needs
- Improved physician education (clinical decision support tools)
- Improved communication between patients and physicians

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Areas for future research

Across all cardiac tachyarrhythmias

- Routine assessment of health-related quality of life
- Identifying incidence and prevalence of psychological distress
- Development of structured arrhythmia-specific educational programmes and integrated care programmes
- Evaluation of efficacy and cost-effectiveness and in different cultures and ethnic groups
- Incorporation of Patient Reported Outcomes (PROs) as outcome measures

www.escardio.org/EHRA
Consensus statements

- Education is an essential component of arrhythmia management to enable patients (and their carers/family members) to understand their condition, the available treatments, disease trajectory, and possible outcomes
- Individually tailored disease- and treatment-specific information from healthcare team
- Patients’ preferences for treatment should be discussed, documented, and incorporated into management decisions
- Shared decision-making should be approach adopted
- Regular audit and review of patient pathways and shared care management
- Patient representatives should contribute to arrhythmia guideline development and implementation

www.escardio.org/EHRA