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European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: full text

Fourth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and other Societies on Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in Clinical Practice

(Constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts)

European Journal of Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation 2007, 14(suppl2):S1-S113
Why stress assessment of total CVD risk?

• Multiple risk factors usually contribute to the atherosclerosis that causes CVD.
• These risk factors interact, sometimes multiplicatively.
• Thus the aim should be to reduce total risk; if a target cannot be reached with one risk factor, total risk can still be reduced by trying harder with others.
How do I assess CVD risk quickly and easily?

- Those with-
  - known CVD
  - type 2 diabetes or type 1 diabetes with microalbuminuria,
  - very high levels of individual risk factors

are already at INCREASED CVD RISK and need management of all risk factors

For all other people, the SCORE risk charts can be used to estimate total risk—this is critically important because many people have mildly raised levels of several risk factors that, in combination, can result in unexpectedly high levels of total CVD risk.
Risk assessment- Options

• Clinical judgement- very efficient, not always reliable
• FRAMINGHAM (Previous European and current UK guidelines)- small data set (c 5K, now 8K) from one US town, but meticulous long-term follow-up. THANK YOU!
• ASSIGN- Scottish data, good assessment of impact of social deprivation
• Q RISK- Large UK data set, not random sample with much missing data
• PROCAM- Moderate size, single city
• SCORE (Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation)- Large (205K), representative (10 population samples) but rather few variables and uses total CVD mortality as the end point
The SCORE database

12 European cohort studies
  - Some with multiple component cohorts
  - Mainly population studies

In round figures:
  • 205,000 persons
  • 3 million person-years of observation
  • Over 7,000 fatal cardiovascular events
10 year risk of fatal CVD in high risk regions
10 year risk of fatal CVD in low risk regions
SCORE summary: advantages

- Very large data set - representative
- Derived & tested on European data
- Hard, reproducible end points
- CHD & stroke risk can be derived separately
- High & low risk charts improve applicability
- Designed to evolve - new cohorts can be accommodated
- Underlying formulae can be adjusted with local mortality data - re-calibration
- Easy to develop electronic, interactive version
SCORE summary: problems

• Too simple - HDL is included as ratio, but what about obesity, exercise, family history, insulin resistance, diabetes, multiple newer risk factors? How much does it matter?

• Old cohorts - secular changes in mortality, natural history, diagnostic tests, ascertainment rates, treatments. How much does it matter?

• What about morbidity/total events?
SCORE & HeartScore- update & new initiatives

1. Risk in the young-

The problem:
A low absolute risk may conceal a very high relative or lifetime risk
Relative Risk

Women

Non-smoker

Smoker

Age

65

Relative Risk per 60 mm SBP plus smoking
RR = 10 / 2 = 5
Relative Risk Chart

This chart may be used to show younger people at low absolute risk that, relative to others in their age group, their risk may be many times higher than necessary. This may help to motivate decisions about avoidance of smoking, healthy nutrition and exercise, as well as flagging those who may become candidates for medication.

[Relative Risk Chart Image]
Risk in the young

• Is the relative risk chart sufficient? Consider-
  • Attributable risk
  • Years of life lost or gained
  • Risk age
  • Risk advancement periods
• Of these, we suggest that risk age is the easiest to understand
Definition:

„The age of a person with a given burden of risk factors compared with the age of a person with the same risk but who has fewer risk factors.“

Synonyms:
Heart age, cardiovascular age
A 40 year old man who smokes and has a high BP and cholesterol may have a “risk age” of 65 years.

Total cholesterol:HDL Cholesterol ratio

Score
10-year risk of fatal CVD in populations at high CVD risk
2. Total events-

The problems:

Determined by multiple variables that are not stable over time, and by duration of follow up
MORBIDITY AND TOTAL EVENTS
Catherine McGorrian

[We are sorry that we have made such a meal out of this]
The prediction of total CVD events. What do you think would be the multiplier to convert mortality to total events?

(A) 2x?
(B) 4x?
(C) 5x?
(D) 10x?
(E) 15x?
(F) None of the above?
The prediction of CVD morbidity

- Everybody wants charts for morbidity/total events
- 20% risk of a CHD event (old Framingham chart) = 5% risk of CVD death (SCORE)
- A high risk of death indicates a high risk of a non-fatal event

PROBLEMS: Changes in definitions of events & diagnostic criteria, therapy, risk factors, natural history, ascertainment of events. Hard to re-calibrate. ALSO-dependent on duration of FU

SOLUTION?? Finnrisk-Monica collaboration to derive total-event chart- SCOREplus
Which lucky person gets into SCORE+ but not SCORE?

A-----------------------------------------------F
B------NF-------------F
C---NF----NF----NF---NF---NF---NF---NF---NF-------F
• All of these will be in SCORE and SCORE+ but ONCE only
D-----NF-----/(NFs)-----------------------------------
• Only this person, who lives beyond 10 years, will be in SCORE+ and not in SCORE… and only ONCE even if he he/she has multiple events but stays alive
• This means that the final multiplier will be small, probably about 1.3
The prediction of CVD morbidity and total events

- A fundamental problem is that, with present methods, the “multiplier” will be dependent on the duration of follow up.
- Clinicians observe that they see many more non-fatal than fatal events.
- But risk charts consider only the FIRST event, whether fatal or non-fatal.
- Therefore, with a very long follow up, when everyone is dead, the multiplier is 1!
- The shorter the follow up, the higher the proportion of non-fatal events and the bigger the multiplier.
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3. BMI and HDL cholesterol-

Important but different effects on both risk estimation and management

Alexandra Dudina and Marie-Therese Cooney
Summary
– BMI and HDL effect on CV risk

• BMI predicts both total and CVD mortality, especially in younger persons
• The effect is not independent of other risk factors, probably because its effect is partially or completely mediated through effects on other CV risk factors
• This does not reduce, but rather increases, the impact of elevated BMI on public health

• HDL cholesterol is an independent risk factor for CVD mortality in both genders, all ages, and in all strata of total CVD risk, including older women
Summary
– BMI and HDL in risk estimation

• HDL cholesterol can be incorporated as an additional variable in risk estimation. Easier using a electronic system- HeartSCORE
• Inclusion results in only minor changes in risk estimate for the entire population
• But important for the individual – especially those at intermediate risk levels and with unusually high or low HDL cholesterol levels
• Appropriate incorporation of BMI into risk estimation could be an a surrogate for other risk factors
• A simple system, using only easily measured variables, would make risk estimation more accessible and cost effective
SCORE BMI
An increase in BMI from 20 to 30 is associated with-

- 0.75 mmol/L increase in cholesterol
- 0.2 mmol/L decrease in HDL chol
- An increase in Chol:HDL chol ratio from 4 to 5.5
- 15 mmHg increase in blood pressure
- A **doubling** of cardiovascular mortality; more marked in the young and in women
CVD Mortality Rate Relative Risks Compared to the lowest total Chol Quartile and the highest HDL quartile

Relative Risk

Total Cholesterol

- TOT1
- TOT2
- TOT3
- TOT4
- HDL1
- HDL2
- HDL3
- HDL4

- 1.0
- 1.5
- 2.1
- 3.5
- 4.0
- 4.6
- 6.5
- 6.7
- 9.2
Chart for Women from high risk countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Cholesterol (mmol/l)</th>
<th>Non-Smoker</th>
<th>Smoker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>7 7 8 8 9</td>
<td>14 14 15 16 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>5 5 6 6 7</td>
<td>10 11 12 12 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>4 4 4 5 5</td>
<td>8 8 9 9 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>3 3 3 4 4</td>
<td>6 6 7 7 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Without HDL:
- Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg):
  - Without HDL: 2.4
  - HDL 0.8: 4.6
  - HDL 1.0: 3.8
  - HDL 1.4: 2.5
  - HDL 1.8: 1.7
HDL = 0.8mmol/l

HDL = 1.0mmol/l

HDL = 1.4mmol/l

HDL = 1.8mmol/l
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4. Simplifying Risk estimation -

What can you achieve with age, gender, smoking and BMI alone?
Simplifying Risk Estimation

• Estimating risk without laboratory measurements

• Or without blood pressure measurement

• Makes process more accessible and cost effective

• Particularly useful in regions where access to medical facilities is limited.
SCORE BMI

• Objective: To develop a simple risk estimation chart, based on the SCORE dataset, for the estimation of ten year risk of CVD mortality using only simple non laboratory, non clinic based measurements – age, gender, smoking status and BMI (in categories)
SCORE BMI Charts – Not finalised
for use in high risk European Regions

BMI Category

Women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Men

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>NS</th>
<th>S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI Category
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5. Heart Rate-

Will it add anything?
Heart rate Project

Objectives:

1. To examine the risk associated with resting heart rate in a general population
2. To derive a risk estimation function including heart rate as an additional variable & test whether this results in improved risk estimation
Heart rate Project: Summary Results

Effects of heart rate on endpoints:

• Independent risk factor – men and women
• Remains after exclusion of events occurring in the first 2 years of follow-up
• Stronger effect on fatal than non-fatal endpoints
• Effect is mainly on coronary mortality, with little effect of cerebrovascular endpoints
• The effect of heart rate weakens with increasing follow-up time
• The effect is independent of other co-morbidities and physical activity level (self-reported)
Heart rate Project: Summary Results

Incorporation of heart rate in risk estimation systems

• No meaningful improvement in discrimination when heart rate is included in risk estimation systems including the current SCORE variables.
  – Assessed using both AUROC and net reclassification indices

• Useful improvement in discrimination when heart rate is added to simple risk estimation system containing only age, gender, BMI and smoking status.
Discrimination of the simple function with and without heart rate included

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple Score with RHR</td>
<td>Simple Score without RHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Simple Score with RHR</td>
<td>Simple Score without RHR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUROC</td>
<td>0.8196</td>
<td>0.8121, p=0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.8667</td>
<td>0.8547, p=0.018</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incorporation of heart rate in the simple function also resulted in an improvement in reclassification with a net reclassification index of 0.14, p<0.01
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6. Risk in the old-

Risk factors function differently in old people and we suspect that risk estimation can be improved
Objective:

• To derive a risk estimation function specifically from data from the older age group (> 65 years)

• We hypothesise that this will result in improved risk estimation in the elderly because in other systems use the same beta coefficients for the risk factors for all age groups – and it is known that risk factors function differently in the elderly
SCORE ELD - Progress

- Additional data has been received from Norwegian collaborators in order to increase the numbers in the older age group for the derivation dataset.
- The function has been derived.
- Currently working on internal validation of the function.
- External validation of this function will be an important aspect.
HeartSCORE

- The electronic, interactive version of SCORE
- Available on line, as a downloadable, stand-alone version, and on CD
- Can interact to adjust targets, calculate risk at different levels of risk factors
- Will include more variables such as HDL cholesterol and BMI
- Links into advice from the pocket guidelines
- Simple graphic of risk and risk factor advice can be printed out for the patient
- www.HeartScore.org
HeartScore®

The electronic, interactive tool for predicting & managing the risk of heart attack and stroke in Europe

Now stand-alone and web-based with country-specific versions
HeartScore® Benefits

1. Allows quick & easy risk estimation
2. Graphical display of absolute CVD risk
3. Identifies relative impact of modifiable risk factors
4. Helps optimise potential benefits of intervention
5. Leads physician to relevant information in electronic guidelines
6. Prints tailored health advice based on patient’s risk profile

Encourages behavioural change and compliance to treatment.
HeartScore Update

- Adapted to 2007 European Guidelines on CVD Prevention (incl. relative risk for younger patients)
- **13 versions available in 15 languages**
  - 2 European (high & low)
  - 7 Country-specific (Cyprus, Germany, Greece, Poland, Spain, Sweden, Slovakia, Czech Republic)
  - 3 Translated (Bosnia & Herzegovina, Russia, Romania)
- **12,668** unique users
  - 77.49% EU
  - 22.51% non-EU
  - Mostly cardiologists, trainee cardiologists, GPs and nurses
- Available formats: web-based, PC, online quick calculator
- Downloadable PC versions: European, Sweden and Slovakia
- Average number of new users per month: 270
Progression per version

- EuropeHigh
- EuropeLow
- Sweden
- Germany
- Greece
- Poland
- BIH (total 4 languages)
- Russia
- Spain (total CT + ES)
- Cyprus
Future national versions development in 2009

- Spain (calibrated PC) – ESC Congress Barcelona
- Slovenia
- Turkey
- Estonia
SCORE and HeartScore

An update

SUMMARY

1. JTF4 continues to stress total risk estimation
2. The SCORE risk estimation system is based on a large data set that is representative of Europe and is very simple
3. Relative risk charts aid in advising younger persons. Risk age may be added
4. Total event charts being tested; difficult and unstable and the multiplier may be much less than expected
5. BMI and HDL cholesterol contribute to risk evaluation, but in different ways
6. A simple chart based on age, gender, smoking and BMI only is possible. Heart rate may contribute usefully
7. It is likely that calculating risk separately for older persons will improve risk estimation
8. HeartScore has the flexibility to accommodate these advances
Thank you