SECONDARY PREVENTION AFTER MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION:
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Changes in risk factors controls: the bad

- The EUROASPIRE IV, conducted in 78 centres in 24 European countries, demonstrated a high prevalence of unhealthy lifestyles (smoking, little or no physical activity), uncontrollable modifiable risk factors (obesity, diabetes, high blood pressure, dyslipidaemia) and inadequate use of drug therapies to achieve blood pressure and lipid goals in CAD patients and people at high risk of developing cardiovascular disease. Of note, only 50.7% had been advised to participate in a cardiac rehabilitation or secondary prevention programme.

Changes in risk factors controls: the ugly

- The figures also show substantial regional differences.
- Cardiovascular mortality is now falling in most European countries. Over the 2003-2009 period, the rate of CAD deaths in Russian men dropped from 251 to 186 (per 100,000). Nevertheless, these figures are still huge in comparison with other areas in Europe. On the other hand, CAD is becoming a more common cause of death in the developing world.

2. SECONDARY PREVENTION AND CARDIOVASCULAR REHABILITATION

Key messages

- Preventive cardiology concentrates on the long-term outcome, emphasising that the modifications of risk factors in CAD patients may have a greater impact on longevity than sophisticated interventions.

The evidences

- Contemporary cardiac rehabilitation/secondary prevention (CR/SP) programmes reduce cardiovascular risk and event rates, foster healthy behaviours, and promote active lifestyles. The benefits, such as improved survival, have been reported for all types of CAD patients, independently of intervention modality.

Changes in risk factors controls: the good

- Lifestyle changes after MI is associated with improved prognosis in randomized trials and in observational studies: a meta-analysis of smoking cessation after a myocardial infarction showed a relative risk reduction for coronary mortality of 46% and a Cochrane meta-analysis reported a 36% reduction in all-cause mortality.
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diabetes in coordination with the patient’s primary care provider. Pharmacologic prevention options include the use of (high-intensity) statin therapy, utilise antiplatelet/ anticoagulant therapy as necessary to reduce risk, use Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System Blockers as indicated per condition, utilise Beta Blockers if not contraindicated.

- According to CR/SP programmes take steps towards diagnosis, risk assessment and stratification, screening for co-morbidities, management of residual risk factors, education and follow up in a single approach. They have, in general, three phases: i) inpatient, ii) out patient, iii) long-term intervention.

Where to perform
- CR/SP programmes are based on long-established models involving residential, ambulatory community, or home-based programmes, according to local and national traditions. Residential CR/SP programmes are specifically structured to provide more intensive and/ or complex interventions, and start as soon as possible after the acute event (MI). Structured inpatient (residential) CR/SP programmes should be considered for high-risk patients.9

When to perform
- CR plays a major role as a bridge between the acute treatment and outpatient care. In-hospital phase I CR/SP is the first step in regaining physical independence and individual self-confidence.

3. IDENTIFICATION OF THE GAPS: IN KNOWLEDGE, IN IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES

Two areas of interventions have been identified to optimise CR/SP strategies.

I. Medical assistance and prevention strategies

Lack of risk stratification
- After acute treatment, it is important to identify patients at high risk of further events such as re-infarction or death, and hopefully to intervene in order to prevent these events.

Lack of implementation of structured secondary prevention intervention
- Lack of referral to CR
- Education about lifestyle modification and recognition of cardiac symptoms, physical activity recommendations, and a clear follow-up plan.
- Structured discharge processes to facilitate the transition from the hospital to the family physician’s care.6

Lack of awareness among the acute care physicians, GP
- The majority of physicians support the concept of preventive cardiology and guidelines use, however, only half are active in everyday practice and knowledge about target values is often insufficient.

Where to perform
- CR/SP programmes are based on long-established models involving residential, ambulatory community, or home-based programmes, according to local and national traditions. Residential CR/SP programmes are specifically structured to provide more intensive and/ or complex interventions, and start as soon as possible after the acute event (MI). Structured inpatient (residential) CR/SP programmes should be considered for high-risk patients.9

When to perform
- CR plays a major role as a bridge between the acute treatment and outpatient care. In-hospital phase I CR/SP is the first step in regaining physical independence and individual self-confidence.

4. PROPOSALS ON HOW WE GO FURTHER

A. Facing current challenges

i. In-hospital (Cardiac rehabilitation, phase I)

In-hospital phase I, rehabilitation represents the earliest secondary prevention intervention, beginning immediately after the initial cardiac event during hospital stay.1

Future challenges are
- Systematic processes such as automatic referral and liaison systems to help connect the CR programme.
- Strength of physician endorsement for referring.
- Accountability measures, including CR referral related performance/quality indicators.
- Financial incentives.

ii. Early outpatient prevention programmes (Cardiac rehabilitation, phase II)

- Phase II CR is a comprehensive, multidisciplinary and therapeutic intervention, starting early after hospital discharge and focusing on multiple core components.

Future challenges are
- Innovations in telecommunication technologies in order to expand CR services capabilities and to address the broad and extensive range of CR participating barriers.
- Cardiac tele-rehabilitation is a programme devoted to telemonitoring, telecoaching, e-learning, social interaction, and two-way communication with the caregiver.
- Individualise exercise programmes based on patient stratification to maximize the clinical benefit and optimise safety.

iii. Long term prevention (Cardiac rehabilitation, phase III)

- Phase III CR represents the long-term outpatient supervision of patient’s adherence to prescribed lifestyle behaviour and constitutes a joint effort of patient, primary care physician and cardiologist.

Future challenges are
- The recognized rehabilitation centres (so-called “Central hubs”) screen eligible patients for CR in- and/or exclusion criteria and provide them with initial centre-based CR. After phase II CR, when considered safe and feasible by the cardiologist’s judgement, patients are offered enrolment in continued remote supervised CR by the Peripheral Centres (“Peripheral hubs”). The Peripheral hubs will be responsible for remote patient monitoring, follow-up and care by tele-centre(s).

B. Definition of key performance indicators

- Fundamental to the process of quality improvement is continuous review of plans and activities, and assessment of the degree to which anticipated outcomes have been achieved. Well-defined key performance indicators provide valuable measures for benchmarking care providing CR centres. The European Society of Cardiology has defined standardized long-term performance indicators and valid assessment criteria.26

C. Need for further research

- Developing action plans to accelerate the implementation of accountability measures and automatic referral are eagerly needed.
- Cost-effectiveness evaluations of novel care delivery strategies, to provide policy makers charged with deciding how health care resources should be allocated, with suggestions for alternative care models.
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