


Q1 Is your Institution ?

Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0



Choix de réponses	Réponses
A university Hospital	65,52% 38
A Private Hospital	17,24% 10
Other type of Hospital	17,24% 10
Total	58

Q2 In which country is your centre based ?


Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0

Q3 Your email address is:

Répondues : 57 Ignorées : 1

Q4 Would you be comfortable if we acknowledge your centre in the Europace Journal and on the Website?


Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0



Choix de réponses	Réponses	
Yes	100,00%	58
No	0,00%	0
Total		58

Q5 Number of Catheter ablations (all type of arrhythmia) at your institution last calendar year :


Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0



Choix de réponses	Réponses	
1 - 99	20,69%	12
100 - 199	18,97%	11
200 - 399	25,86%	15
400 or more	27,59%	16
None	6,90%	4
Total		58

Q6 Number of ICD Implantations (Sum of new implants and replacements) at your institution last calendar year :


Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0



Choix de réponses	Réponses	
1 - 99	39,66%	23
100 - 199	34,48%	20
200 - 399	22,41%	13
400 or more	3,45%	2
None	0,00%	0
Total		58

Q7 Number of pacemaker implantations (sum of new implants and replacements) at your institution last calendar year :


Répondues : 58 Ignorées : 0




Choix de réponses	Réponses
1-99	5,17% 3
100-199	17,24% 10
200-399	50,00% 29
400 or more	27,59% 16
None	0,00% 0
Total	58

Q8 Indications to dual-chamber ICD versus single-chamber ICD in patients with sinus node disease, who meet criteria for ICD implantation? Appropriate use score: 1-9 (1 low, 9 high)

Répondues : 53 Ignorées : 5




EP WIRE on ICD Programming: Up-to-date and new perspectives




	Low 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	High 9	Total
Symptomatic sinus node dysfunction	7,55% 4	3,77% 2	1,89% 1	0,00% 0	1,89% 1	1,89% 1	3,77% 2	11,32% 6	67,92% 36	53
Asymptomatic sinus node dysfunction	7,84% 4	1,96% 1	3,92% 2	3,92% 2	13,73% 7	9,80% 5	21,57% 11	19,61% 10	17,65% 9	51
Resting sinus bradycardia (<50 bpm)	15,09% 8	5,66% 3	3,77% 2	5,66% 3	11,32% 6	13,21% 7	16,98% 9	15,09% 8	13,21% 7	53

Q9 2. Indication to CRT-ICD versus single/dual-chamber ICD in patients with A-V conduction disease and normal QRS (<120 ms), who meet criteria for ICD implantation? Appropriate use score: 1-9 (1 low, 9 high)

Répondues : 53 Ignorées : 5




EP WIRE on ICD Programming: Up-to-date and new perspectives



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Total
First-degree AV block (PR>240 ms)	30,19% 16	15,09% 8	11,32% 6	1,89% 1	13,21% 7	11,32% 6	5,66% 3	9,43% 5	1,89% 1	53
Mobitz type I AV block	22,64% 12	9,43% 5	7,55% 4	3,77% 2	9,43% 5	9,43% 5	15,09% 8	16,98% 9	5,66% 3	53
Advanced second-degree or third-degree AV block	7,55% 4	5,66% 3	1,89% 1	3,77% 2	9,43% 5	3,77% 2	7,55% 4	24,53% 13	35,85% 19	53

Q10 When do you perform ICD programming optimization? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 53 Ignorées : 5



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
At implant (%)	52	2 715	52
At pre-hospital discharge FU (%)	31	1 521	49
At first FU (%)	22	1 064	48
Nombre total de répondants : 53			

Q11 For patients implanted in primary prevention, what do you use? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 53 Ignorées : 5



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
A standard ICD programming (%)	60	2 830	47
A tailored ICD programming (%)	43	2 150	50
A on the box ICD programming (%)	9	320	35
Nombre total de répondants : 53			

Q12 In primary prevention patients do you program a slow VT window for ICD intervention? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 52 Ignorées : 6



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Only in Monitor (%)	71	3 495	49
Not at all	0	0	0
Only with ATP (%)	6	231	36
With ATP and Shock (%)	16	599	37
Not used at all	26	875	34
Nombre total de répondants : 52			

**Q13 In primary prevention, how many ATP sequences do you program in VT zone?
Overall percentage 100%**


Répondues : 52 Ignorées : 6



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
1 ATP sequence (%)	25	925	37
2 ATP sequences (%)	40	1 680	42
> 2 ATP sequences (%)	60	2 595	43
Nombre total de répondants : 52			

Q14 Do you use a long detection interval settings according to MADIT RIT and ADVANCE III inclusion criteria and results?
Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 50 Ignorées : 8



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Only in primary prevention patients (%)	44	1 835	42
Both in primary and secondary prevention patients (%)	62	2 675	43
No, I don't (%)	14	490	34
Nombre total de répondants : 50			

Q15 In secondary prevention the number of detection and therapy windows is mainly based on: Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 52 Ignorées : 6



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Number of clinical VT (%)	37	1 270	34
Anti-arrhythmic drug use (%)	7	220	30
Rate and tolerance of clinical VT (%)	50	1 810	36
All variables (%)	49	1 900	39
Nombre total de répondants : 52			

Q16 In secondary prevention patients do you program a slow VT window for ICD intervention?


Répondues : 49 Ignorées : 9



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Only in Monitor (%)	44	1 585	36
Yes, only for patients with previous history of VT (%)	54	2 055	38
Yes, always (%)	41	1 260	31
Nombre total de répondants : 49			

Q17 In secondary prevention, how many ATP sequences do you program in VT zone? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 49 Ignorées : 9



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
1 ATP sequence (%)	11	310	28
2 ATP sequences (%)	31	910	29
> 2 ATP sequences (%)	78	3 680	47
Nombre total de répondants : 49			

Q18 For both primary and secondary prevention do you program ATP before shock according to Painfree strategy for 'fast VT'? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 49 Ignorées : 9



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Up to 300 ms (%)	27	768	28
Up to 240 ms (%)	70	2 926	42
Less 240 ms (%)	39	1 206	31
Nombre total de répondants : 49			

Q19 In VT zone at what energy do you program shocks? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 49 Ignorées : 9



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
at maximum energy (%)	78	3 270	42
on the basis of EP evaluation (%)	23	610	27
on the basis of a local protocol (%)	38	1 020	27
Nombre total de répondants : 49			

Q20 Do you usually turn on AT/AF discriminator at the time of first ICD programming? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 49 Ignorées : 9



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
No, I don't (%)	36	1 040	29
Yes, with nominal settings (%)	56	2 080	37
Yes, with tailored settings (%)	56	1 780	32
Nombre total de répondants : 49			

Q21 Do you usually turn on other SVT discriminator at the time of first ICD programming? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 47 Ignorées : 11



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
No, I don't (%)	35	1 100	31
Yes, with nominal settings (%)	56	1 910	34
Yes, with tailored settings (%)	53	1 690	32
Nombre total de répondants : 47			

Q22 Do you usually turn on EGM Morphology discriminator at the time of first ICD programming? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 47 Ignorées : 11



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
No, I don't (%)	39	1 285	33
Yes, with nominal settings (%)	62	2 370	38
Yes, with tailored settings (%)	40	1 045	26
Nombre total de répondants : 47			

Q23 Do you still submit ICD recipients to VF induction test? Overall percentage 100%


Répondues : 47 Ignorées : 11



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
Never (%)	48	1 485	31
Only for secondary prevention (%)	22	615	28
Only in selected patient (%)	46	1 615	35
All the patients (%)	36	985	27
Nombre total de répondants : 47			

Q24 At ICD replacement do you update programming? Overall percentage 100%

Répondues : 47 Ignorées : 11



Choix de réponses	Moyenne	Total	Réponses
No, I use the same programming (%)	57	1 645	29
Yes, only if Cardiovascular history changed (%)	54	1 875	35
Yes, always (%)	42	1 180	28
Nombre total de répondants : 47			